In category theory objects have no structure, everything we can say about objects comes through the relationship with other objects: <u>morphisms</u>.

it is as if morphisms connects to hidden features <u>inside</u> objects. They reveal the inner structure of objects.

All the information about an object is <u>encoded</u> into its hom-functors. More precisely

- 1. take any object $c \in C$
- 2. we can use any other object $c' \in C$ as a probe or as a vantage point and consider morphisms $f : c \to c'$
- 3. varying c' we get its covariant hom-functor $\mathcal{C}(c, -)$
- 4. and we say that $\mathcal{C}(c,-)$ or any other naturally isomorphic functor represents c
- 5. thus we get the Yoneda embedding of C into $[C^{op}, Set]$
- 6. using Yoneda lemma we can prove that Yoneda embeddings are **full and faithful** thus
 - all the information about $c \in C$ is encoded into C(c, -)
 - $a \cong b$ iff $\mathcal{C}(a, -) \cong \mathcal{C}(b, -)$
 - <u>every</u> natural transformation between hom-functors arise from a morphism between it represented objects
- 7. when an object is specified uniquely by its relationship with other objects it is said to satisfy a universal property

Similarly for the contravariant hom-functor $\mathcal{C}(-,c)$.

Going higher up on the abstraction ladder the important thing about functors is how they operate on morphisms: they preserve structure by preserving composition of morphisms.

But functors form a category by themselves so they become structureless objects in this category. Then the information about

November 16, 2023

Page 1 of 2

functors is encoded in the morphisms between them that is natural transformations.

See also

• The Yoneda Perspective